	3 PAGES				
1	Richard S. E. Johns, SBN 053024				
2	Law Offices of Richard S. E. Johns 57 Post Street, Suite 604				
3	San Francisco, California 94104 (415) 781-8494				
4	(415) 701-0454				
5	Attorneys for Defendant Robert Pruett, Truste Trustee of the CGS 2007 Trust	e of the SAS 2007 Trust, an	d		
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
9	SACRAMENTO DIVISION				
10	In re:) CASE NO. 09-29162-	D-11		
11) CHAPTER 11			
12)			
13	SK FOODS, L.P., a California limited	ADVERSARY NO.:	11-2550-D		
14	partnership, et al.,) DCN. SH-12			
15	Debtors.	ý)			
) ANSWER BY ROBE TRUSTEE, TO COM	ERT PRUETT, IPLAINT SEEKING		
16	BRADLEY D.SHARP, Trustee	PROCEEDS OF SA WESTLAKE BOILE	LE AT 4000 EVARD, #14, HOME		
17	Plaintiff,	\langle WOOD, CALIFORN	IA 96141 FREE ANI		
18	Flamin,	CLEAR OF ANY CI INTERESTS OF TE	IE SALYER		
19	v.	DAUGHTER'S TRU	STS		
20	DODDER DRIVERS WRITER OF WITE) DEMAND FOR JURY	YTRIAL		
İ	ROBERT PRUETT, TRUSTEE OF THE SAS 2007 TRUST, AND TRUSTEE OF) Judge: Hon. Robert S	. Bardwill		
21	THE CGS 2007 TRUST)			
22	Defendant.)			
23		.)			
24					
25	Robert Pruett, Trustee of the CGS 2007 Trust and the SAS 2007 Trust (the "Trusts")				
26	answers the deemed adversary complaint as follows:				
27	1. Admits that this court has jurisdiction over this matter and that venue is proper.				
28	2. Because the plaintiff's motion was converted into and treated as an adversary				

complaint, Defendant Pruett does not have before him an actual complaint in which specific

allegations are made, and therefore cannot respond to allegations paragraph by paragraph.

Without knowledge of specific allegations, he is without information and belief of each allegation, and based upon lack of information and belief, denies all allegations.

- 3. If the Motion Authorizing Turnover Of Proceeds Of Sale Of Certain Real Property Located At 4000 Westlake Boulevard, #14, Homewood, California 96141 Free and Clear Of Any Claims Of Interests Of The Salyer Daughters' Trusts is taken to be the complaint, then Defendant Pruett based upon lack of information and belief, denies all allegations of the following paragraphs: 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 10, and 14.
- 4. If the Motion Authorizing Turnover Of Proceeds Of Sale Of Certain Real Property Located At 4000 Westlake Boulevard, #14, Homewood, California 96141 Free and Clear Of Any Claims Of Interests Of The Salyer Daughters' Trusts is taken to be the complaint, then Defendant Pruett admits the allegations of the following paragraphs: 2, 6, 9, 11, 13, 15, and 16.
- 5. If the Motion Authorizing Turnover Of Proceeds Of Sale Of Certain Real Property Located At 4000 Westlake Boulevard, #14, Homewood, California 96141 Free and Clear Of Any Claims Of Interests Of The Salyer Daughters' Trusts is taken to be the complaint, then Defendant Pruett denies the allegations of paragraphs 8 and 12.

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a First, separate and distinct affirmative defense, this answering Defendant alleges that Plaintiff's complaint fails to state facts sufficient to constitute any cause of action.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a Second, separate and distinct affirmative defense, this answering Defendant alleges that the relief sought by Plaintiff is not the real party in interest and therefore has no standing to assert the claims made.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a Third, separate and distinct affirmative defense, this answering Defendant alleges that the Bank of Montreal obtained the agreements and rights which are the basis of the rights asserted based upon unconscionable threats and duress, as a result of which they are void or voidable.

1		
2		,
3		.3
4		t
5		(
6		
7		1
8	***************************************	•
9		j f
10	***************************************	Ι

11	***************************************	
12	***************************************	I
13	***************************************	
14		D
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

25

26

27

28

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a Fourth, separate and distinct affirmative defense, this answering Defendant alleges that the settlement agreement upon which the plaintiff bases its claim to be entitled to distribute the proceeds free and clear of claims is no longer in effect, and therefore there is no right to the distribution requested.

WHEREFORE, Defendant prays that the Trustee take nothing by its Complaint, for judgment in Defendant's favor, for an award of costs, and that the Court grant such other and further relief as is proper.

Defendant demands a jury trial.

DATED: August 22, 2011

Respectfully submitted, Richard S. E. Johns Law Offices of Richard S. E. Johns 57 Post Street, Suite 604 San Francisco, California 94104 (415) 781-8494

/s/ Richard S. E. Johns
Richard S. E. Johns
Attorneys for Robert Pruett, Trustee

1 PROOF OF SERVICE 2 I, Lauren Kawano, am a citizen of the United States and employed in the City and County of San Francisco. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to 3 the within entitled action. My business address is 57 Post Street, Suite 604, San Francisco, California 94104. 4 August 23, 2011. I served the within document(s) entitled: 5 6 ANSWER BY ROBERT PRUETT, TRUSTEE, TO COMPLAINT SEEKING PROCEEDS OF SALE AT 4000 WESTLAKE BOULEVARD, #14, HOME 7 WOOD, CALIFORNIA 96141 FREE AND CLEAR OF ANY CLAIMS OR INTERESTS OF THE SALYER DAUGHTER'S TRUSTS 8 on the parties in said action by delivering a true copy thereof as indicated below, 9 addressed as follows: 10 Kenneth W. Coleman, Esq. 11 Schnader Harrison Segal & Lewis LLP 12 One Montgomery Street, Suite 2200 San Francisco, California 94104-5501 13 U.S. Trustee Counsel 14 Judith C. Hotze 501 I Street #7-500 15 Sacramento, CA 95814 16 (X) BY MAIL: I placed such envelope(s) with postage thereon fully prepaid in 17 the United States Mail. 18 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. 19 20 Dated: August 23, 2011 21 Lauren Kawano 22 23 24 25 26 27 28